



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,219	09/25/2006	John Syron	SYRO 0101 PUS	3351
22045 7590 07/01/2009 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075				
EXAMINER EDWARDS, LAURA ESTELLE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1792				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/01/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/537,219  <b>Applicant(s)</b> SYRON, JOHN
<b>Examiner</b> Laura Edwards		<b>Art Unit</b> 1792

**All Participants:**

(1) Laura Edwards.

(2) John Nemazi.

**Date of Interview:** 29 June 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Status of Application:** ABN

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** Afternoon

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NONE

**Claims discussed:**

All in general

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NONE

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Confirmation that there was no response to the office action mailed (12/2/08). Thus, the application has been abandoned.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura Edwards/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

**All Participants:**

(1) *Laura Edwards*.

**Date of Interview:** 29 June 2009

**Status of Application:** *ABN*

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:  
NONE

Claims discussed:  
*All in general*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*NONE*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
Confirmation that there was no response to the office action mailed (12/2/08). Thus, the application has been abandoned.

### Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura Edwards/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)